UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,574	04/27/2006	Inghoo Huh	5025-0013	2921
22429 7590 08/31/2009 LOWE HAUPTMAN HAM & BERNER, LLP			EXAMINER	
1700 DIAGONAL ROAD			CHANG, EDWARD	
SUITE 300 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3692	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/31/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/595,574	HUH, INGHOO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	EDWARD CHANG	3692				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>June</u>	10, 2009					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is				
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-10,13 and 14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3, 5-10, 13, and 14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
		(1)				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	1) Intensions Comments	(PTO 412)				
1) \(\subseteq \) Notice of References Cited (P1O-892) 2) \(\subseteq \) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) L Other:						

Art Unit: 3692

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

- 1. This action is in reply to the RCE filed on 10th of June 2009.
- 2. Claim 1 has been amended.
- **3.** Claims 4, 11, and 12 have been canceled.
- **4.** Claims 1-3, 5-10, 13, and 14 are currently pending and have been examined.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 5-10, 13, and 14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- **6.** The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 3692

8. Claims 1-3, 5-10, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Blagg et al. (hereinafter "Blagg"); (US 2006/0036543 A1) in view of Walker et al. (hereafter "Walker3"); (US 7,318,041 B2) in further view of Dilip et al. (hereinafter "Dilip"); (US 2007/0136169 A1).

As per Claim 1:

Blagg as shown discloses the following limitations:

- linked account translator connected to the main computer system, (See at least Page 2,
 Paragraph 0019+, operations center = linked account translator)
- for saving and managing information on a primary account and one or more linked secondary accounts of the account holder, (See at least Page 1, Paragraph 0009+)
- wherein a common account is created by the main computer system as an account for intermediate process on a transaction, (See at least Page 1, Paragraph 0003+)
- wherein the account database is connected to the said linked account translator, and the said common account is accessed by the main computer system, (See at least Page 2, Paragraph 0019+, Outside data stores = account database)
- wherein the primary account and the one or more linked secondary accounts are
 managed by the said linked account translator, (See at least Page 2, Paragraph 0019+,
 "...management of the accounts...")
- the one or more linked secondary accounts are linked and accessed together
 automatically and simultaneously using information in the account database for inquiry
 and transaction in real time, and (See at least Page 2, Paragraph 0020+,
 "...informational look-up processing...")

However, Blagg specifically does not teach the following limitation. But Walker3 discloses the following limitations:

 the common account being configured to store transaction details including a transaction amount received from the account holder during the transaction and to send the stored transaction details to the linked account translator so that the primary account and the

Art Unit: 3692

one or more linked secondary accounts are updated according to the transaction details. (See at least Column 12, Line 6~8, "...transacting and access reward points to a plurality of members using a common account or frequent shopper card...", the section does not specifically state "including transaction details" but it obvious that this information is included in the common account in order to "transact and access reward points" for the purchases.)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Blagg's method of creating linked accounts as taught by Walker3's system for rewarding multiple parties using a single account to use a common account to update and modify multiple accounts. This common way of data processing will reduce redundant use of memory and increase speed in processing.

In addition, combination of Blagg and Walker3 specifically does not teach the following limitation.

But Dilip discloses the following limitations:

- a main computer system in charge of transaction on account holder's account; (See at least Fig. 2, Item 138)
- an account database for saving for saving account information on account holder's
 account, wherein the account database is managed by the said main computer system;
 (See at least Page 3, Paragraph 0043+)
- a payment gateway for managing transaction between the main computer system and external systems connected by the main computer system; (See at least Fig. 19, Item 652)
- a connection hub equipped with an external network transaction information between external transaction equipments and the main computer system; (See at least Page 3, Paragraph 0037+, The market information service server)
- wherein when the primary account holder processes inquiry and transaction on the said primary account by an account number and a PIN (password), (See at least Page 4, Paragraph 0050+)

Art Unit: 3692

when the primary account holder processes inquiry and transaction on the primary
account by an account number and a PIN (password), the primary account holder
processes each of the one or more linked secondary accounts with an account number
and an associated account name assigned by the primary account holder. (See at least
Page 4, Paragraph 0050+)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Blagg's method of creating linked accounts as taught by Dilip's method/apparatus for implementing financial transaction to have an arrangement of networks and hardwares to establish this. This will greatly increase the efficiency and the effectiveness of the system.

As per Claim 3:

Combination of Blagg / Walker3 / Dilip discloses the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Furthermore, Blagg also discloses the following limitations:

- the said common account is an account created by the main computer system for
 intermediate account on transactions via the said external network connection hub,
 account transaction on account database, inter-account transactions between the said
 account translator and linked accounts and/or via payment gateway, or between the said
 account translator and linked accounts and (See at least Page 1, Paragraph 0003+)
- via payment gateway to save all transaction details of the main computer system, and from the common account to allow transfer to outer merchant accounts respectively. (See at least Page 2, Paragraph 0017+)

As per Claim 5:

Combination of Blagg / Walker3 / Dilip discloses the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Furthermore, Blagg also discloses the following limitations:

Art Unit: 3692

 the said linked account translator manages account information on the said primary account and least one of linked secondary accounts; (See at least Page 5, Paragraph 0059+)

 wherein the common account saves the details of transactions at the main computer system, wherein the said main computer system manages transactions to merchant accounts referring to the transaction details in the said common account. (See at least Page 2, Paragraph 0017+)

As per Claim 6:

Combination of Blagg / Walker3 / Dilip discloses the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Furthermore, Blagg also discloses the following limitations:

wherein the said linked account translator, the common account, or both of the said linked account translator and the common account are comprised in the said main computer system; (See at least Page 5, Paragraph 0059+)

As per Claim 7:

Combination of Blagg / Walker3 / Dilip discloses the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Furthermore, Blagg also discloses the following limitations:

- wherein the account information on primary account and one or more linked secondary
 accounts managed by the above linked account translator are saved arid managed at the
 existing account database, (See at least Page 2, Paragraph 0017+)
- for the case of the said linked account translator, the common account, or both are equipped inside of the said main computer system. (See at least Page 5, Paragraph 0059+)

Art Unit: 3692

As per Claim 9:

Combination of Blagg / Walker3 / Dilip discloses the limitations as shown in the rejections above.

Furthermore, Blagg also discloses the following limitations:

wherein the said primary account and one or more of the said linked secondary accounts

are managed by the said linked account translator by the individual account numbers with

an account classification codes issued by the bank (See at least Page 7, Paragraph

0075+)

As per Claim 10:

Combination of Blagg / Walker3 / Dilip discloses the limitations as shown in the rejections above.

Furthermore, Blagg also discloses the following limitations:

• wherein the primary account and the one or more linked secondary accounts are

managed by the said linked account translator, and (See at least Page 5, Paragraph

0059+)

the one or more linked secondary accounts are allocated as other person's account

according to the designation by the primary account holder. (See at least Page 1,

Paragraph 0011+)

However, Blagg specifically does not teach the following limitation. But Dilip discloses the

following limitations:

the said primary account is processed to transact approved by an account number and a

PIN(password), (See at least Page 4, Paragraph 0050+)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

invention was made to modify Blagg's method of creating linked accounts as taught by Dilip's

method/apparatus for implementing financial transaction to allow user to enter the account

number and password to access the account. This would greatly increase the security of the

system.

Art Unit: 3692

As per Claim 13:

Combination of Blagg / Walker3 / Dilip discloses the limitations as shown in the rejections above.

Furthermore, Blagg also discloses the following limitations:

wherein for the primary account and the one or more linked secondary accounts

managed by the said linked account translator, (See at least Page 5, Paragraph 0059+)

However, Blagg specifically does not teach the following limitation. But Dilip discloses the

following limitations:

when the primary account holder processes inquiry and transaction on the primary

account by the account number and PIN(password), rest of the linked accounts are linked

and accessed together automatically and simultaneously in real time. (See at least Page

4, Paragraph 0050+)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

invention was made to modify Blagg's method of creating linked accounts as taught by Dilip's

method/apparatus for implementing financial transaction to allow user to enter the account

number and password to access multiple accounts. This would greatly increase the security of

the system.

As per Claim 14:

Combination of Blagg / Walker3 / Dilip discloses the limitations as shown in the rejections above.

Furthermore, Blagg also discloses the following limitations:

wherein one of the holder's account managed by the said linked account translator is the

other person's account, (See at least Page 5, Paragraph 0059+);(Also see at least Page

1, Paragraph 0011+)

wherein the other person's account is selectively limited to inquiry and transaction only on

the said person's account and not on the primary account nor other linked secondary

accounts. (See at least Page 1, Paragraph 0012+)

Art Unit: 3692

9. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Blagg in view of Walker3

in view of Dilip in further view of Walker et al. (hereinafter "Walker1"); (US 5,999,596).

As per Claim 2:

Combination of Blagg / Walker3 / Dilip discloses the limitations as shown in the rejections above.

However, combination of Blagg / Walker3 / Dilip does not teach the following limitation. But,

Walker1 teaches the following limitations:

DTMF measures at terminal connected via internet server or wire & wireless telephone to

create transaction data; (See at least Column 5, Line 53+)

ARS server transmitting the said transaction data to the main computer system via

external network connection hub; (See at least Fig 1, Item 30)

ATM terminal as well as a counter terminal. (See at least Column 12, Line 6+)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

invention was made to modify Blagg / Walker3 / Dilip's system as taught by Walker1's authorizing

system to include the DTMF measures at terminal, ARS server, and ATM terminal to be used as

an external transaction equipments. As in Walker1, it is within the capabilities of one of ordinary

skill in the art to attach and install DTMF measures at terminal, ARS server, and ATM terminal to

Blagg/Dilip's accounts linking system to effectively communicate with the external equipments.

10. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Blagg in view of Walker3

in view of Dilip in further view of Walker et al. (hereinafter "Walker2"); (US 6,330,544 B1).

As per Claim 8:

Combination of Blagg / Walker3 / Dilip discloses the limitations as shown in the rejections above.

However, combination of Blagg / Walker3 / Dilip does not teach the following limitation. But,

Walker2 teaches the following limitations:

Art Unit: 3692

• wherein the primary account and one or more linked secondary account managed by the linked account translator, for the complete account numbers expressed in L digit by N-number system, allocate a section of M digits in the L digit account number wherein M is smaller number than L, allocate one of the said numbered accounts to the primary account, and allocate least one of the rest numbered accounts to account of another person which the primary account holder appoints; among the account number groups expressed in N digits smaller than L, allocate rest of the account numbers as number for the linked secondary accounts, excluding the said two accounts, those are the said primary account and the said another person's account appointed by the said primary account holder. (See at least Column 12, Line 37+)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Blagg / Walker3 / Dilip's system as taught by Walker2's processing credit card system since claimed invention is merely a combination of old elements, and in the combination each element merely would have performed the same function as it did separately, and one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the results of the combination were predictable.

Art Unit: 3692

Conclusion

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or concerning

this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Edward

Chang whose telephone number is 571.270.3092. The Examiner can normally be reached on

Monday-Friday, 9:30am-5:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are

unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Kambiz Abdi can be reached at 571.272.6702.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov >. Should you have

questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at

866.217.9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to 571-273-8300.

Hand delivered responses should be brought to the United States Patent and

Trademark Office Customer Service Window:

Randolph Building

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22314.

August 18, 2009 /Edward Chang/ Examiner, Art Unit 3692

/Susanna M. Diaz/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3692